

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	D. I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/072,907	02/12/2002		Soo Seok Choi	1567.1022	3556	
21171	7590	12/03/2003		EXAMINER		
STAAS &	& HALSE	Y LLP	ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND			
		VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	GTON, DO	•	1745			

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					ab						
		Applicati	nN.	Applicant(s)							
		10/072,90	)7	CHOI ET AL.							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Raymond	Alejandro	1745							
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sh et with the c rrespondence address Period f r Reply											
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status											
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	November 2	<u>003</u> .								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is no	on-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims											
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application	on.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.										
· · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-39</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election red	luirement.								
Applicati	on Papers										
·	The specification is objected to by the Exami										
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.											
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).											
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).											
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120											
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority un	dor 35     S C	\ (d) or (f)							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	agn phonty ur	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(u) 01 (1).							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.											
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>											
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).											
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)											
si 3	nce a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78.	first sentence	of the specification or	in an Application							
	) The translation of the foreign language p	•	•								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.											
Attachmen	t(s)										
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	·)	4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa								
.,	The second of th	· — ·	-,								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is responsive to the Response to Restriction Requirement filed on 11/05/03. Applicants' response has been fully considered, particularly, it has been indicated the examiner has not provided evidence of the existence of the species as well as a rationale as to why the invention is being separated into different species. Consequently, the election/restriction is presented anew for the convenience of the applicants, for the most part, the election of species has been slightly *modified* as seen below. Applicants' attention is kindly directed to "MPEP 809.02(a) Election Required" which also shows specific grounds for identifying species.

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-17 and 38-39, drawn to a lithium-sulfur battery comprising specific
     components, classified in class 429, subclass 218.1.
  - II. Claims 18-28, drawn to a method of preparing a positive electrode by coating, classified in class 427, subclass 58.
  - III. Claims 29-37, drawn to a positive electrode comprising a current collector, classified in class 429, subclass 233.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects, for

Application/Control Number: 10/072,907

Art Unit: 1745

example, the battery is a power generating device while the method is for preparing an electrochemical component i.e. the positive electrode per se.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the battery does not require the specific positive electrode, that is, the battery can comprise any other electrochemical active material; or (as admitted by the applicants) a positive electrode comprising a conductive agent and a binder themselves; or a positive electrode comprising a substrate or current collector. The subcombination has separate utility such as providing an electrochemical feature.
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example, (as admitted by the applicants) a method not including the current collector per se; or a method coating a plasticizer on the current collector, or without coating the current collector; or without adding a conductive agent and a binder per se; or by slurry impregnation.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,907 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for one group is not required for other groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In addition, further restriction is required. Thus, applicant must elect one (1) of the above groups and one (1) of the species below as applicable to the finally elected group.

7. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention, the following species has been identified:

Species 1: the species of Example 1; Species 2: the species of Example 2; Species 3: the species of Example 3; Species 4: the species of Example 4;

Species 5: the species of Example 5;

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 18 and 29 are, at least, generic to each of the inventions (restricted groups) I, II, III above, respectively.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Art Unit: 1745

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1745

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 am - 7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Raymond Alejandro Examiner

Art Unit 1745